

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspio.gov

				www.uspto.gov			
APPLICATION NO. FILIN		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/740,052	12/19/2000		Bruce Perlmutter	10360-079001/13361HUUS01U 4810			
	590	03/13/2002	•				
DENIS G. MALONEY Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804				EXAMINER			
			•	DUONG	, DUC T		
20001, 1111	2110-2007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2663				
				DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	•	09/740,052		PERLMUTTER ET AL.	A)				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	_				
		Duc T. Duon	g	2663					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SH THE - Externation of the content of the cont	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we return to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor vill apply and will ex , cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D	December 020	00 .						
2a)□									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
·	ion of Claims								
	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,10,11,13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3,5,8,9,12,14,17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		iirement						
	ion Papers	r cicodon requ	an ement.		•				
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2000</u> is/ar	ге: а)⊠ ассер	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) <u></u> app	roved b) 🔲 disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/740,052

Art Unit: 2663

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Packer (U.S. Patent 6,285,658).

Regarding to claims 1 and 10, Packer discloses an allocation bandwidth management (Fig. 3) comprising a bandwidth manager 306 (server) and a plurality bandwidth pools 412 and 413 (contention pool) having portion of the bandwidth for different class (application group) traffic, see Fig. 4A col. 15 lines 56-59. The bandwidth management further comprises a TCP autobaud component 302 (meter), which detect (metering) the rate of flow data, see col. 15 lines 20-24.

Regarding to claims 4 and 13, Packer discloses a classfier to determine the class (pre-defined configuration) of the flow data. See col. 15 lines 24-28.

Regarding to claims 6 and 15, Packer discloses the bandwidth management aspect is performed for both inbound and outbound traffic (either direction), see col. 15 lines 34-36.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,052

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding to claims 7 and 16, Packer discloses in the bandwidth management, wherein a partition limit (assigned bandwidth) exceeded the determine allocation, an admissions policy is invoked (rejecting packets), see Fig. 5A col. 16 lines 22-25.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Packer in view of McCullough et al (U.S. Patent 0,010,866 A1).

PAcker fails to teach for the server is a VPN server. However, McCullough discloses for a VPN manager 130 (Fig. 7A). See page 4, right column, lines 7-8.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to provide the VPN manager as taught by McCullough in Packer's server with the motivation to prevent unauthorized intruders of accessing confidential data in the network.

5. Claims 3, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14, 17, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

, Application/Control Number: 09/740,052

Art Unit: 2663

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 703-605-5146. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30 AM-5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

DD March 7, 2002

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Charle To phongen